SANTA CLARA

POST OFFICE BOX 580 (505) 753-7330 (505) 753-5375 Fax



FCC Mailroom

INDIAN PUEBLO
ESPANOLA, NEW MEXICO
87532
OFFICE OF GOVERNOR

February 26, 2018

Mr. Ajit Pai, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S. W. Washington, DC 20554

Re: Santa Clara Pueblo Opposes Program Comment Addressing Collocation of Twilight Towers WT Docket No. 17-79

Dear Chairman Pai,

consideration of tribal comments on this important issue. the FCC would be more than happy to accept comments on the Twilight Towers draft Program Suzanne Tetreault recently reported at a meeting with tribes in Albuquerque, New Mexico that Comment past the reply comment due date. We welcome the FCC's efforts to ensure you for accepting these comments. Program Comment addressing Collocation on Twilight Towers (WT Docket No. 17-79). Thank On behalf of the Santa Clara Pueblo, we submit these comments opposing the draft We very much appreciate that Deputy General Counsel

obligation under Section 106 to consult with tribes.² The draft Program Comment would be an unacceptable abdication of the federal government's trust responsibility to Indian tribes. Neither no evidence that the towers have had no adverse effect or that the FCC has fulfilled its statutory yet known after 12 years or more." We disagree. Without historic preservation review, there is likelihood that Section 106 review could identity adverse effects from these towers that are not National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). The FCC states in its comments there is a "limited have been constructed without documentation that they complied with Section 106 of the The proposed regulatory changes would allow collocations on Twilight Towers, which

federal undertakings that the FCC considers to be excluded from Section 106, including Twilight Towers ¹ Santa Clara Pueblo previously submitted comments specifically objecting to any expansion of the categories of Comments of Santa Clara Pueblo, WT Docket Nos. 17-79 and 15-180, at page 4.

review they protest, "there is no way we, or anyone else, can know if there have been adverse effects, no matter how ² As the Montana History Society offered in their comments submitted on November 29, 2017, they would be many years have passed." willing to review the Towers in Montana to see if there are any that potentially have had an adverse effects. Without

to consult with tribes regarding these new undertakings on historic properties. requirements of the NHPA simply because compliance is inconvenient. The FCC must continue the FCC nor the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) is permitted to ignore the

NHPA compliance, including its duty to ensure that tribal consultation has occurred regulatory guidance regarding Section 106 review does not relieve the agency of its duty of an individual historic preservation review. The FCC's previous failure to provide adequate potentially thousands of existing towers, which cannot demonstrate compliance with federal law, for collocations without the need for either the collocation or the underlying tower to complete the invaluable sacred and cultural interests at stake. The draft Program Comment would open up exaggerates the time and cost involved in conducting historic preservation review and minimizes expensive and time-consuming historic preservation review for the underlying tower. Industry companies now complain that they cannot "collocate" new antennas on these towers without provide proper notification to tribal entities as required by NHPA Section 106. Wireless FCC failed to provide guidelines for adherence to historic preservations laws and failed to Twilight Towers were erected between 2001 and 2005, during a period of time when the

the FCC and tribes. reason to exclude Twilight Towers from historic preservation review. This betrays Congress' intent in passing the NHPA and diminishes the government-to-government relationship between further injury by using the agency's own long years of neglecting sacred and cultural sites as a uphold its trust responsibility to tribes and is in violation of federal law. The FCC now adds Indians have emphasized that the very existence of Twilight Towers is a failure of the FCC to comments from tribes and tribal organizations such as the National Congress of American properties and no impact on tribal cultural and historic properties, including burial sites. Other It is inconceivable that thousands of Twilight Towers would have no impact on historic

or seek additional consultation has no meaning. Tribes are still seeking data on the location of Twilight Towers. Without data, the right to object law, particularly given that the FCC will not provide tribes with a list of Twilight Towers. for consultation on specific Twilight Towers on aboriginal lands does not comply with federal preserve our sacred and cultural heritage. Merely stating that tribes will have the ability to ask Tower. The FCC has a unique obligation to tribes to protect our rights to consultation in order to Federal law requires that tribal sacred and cultural interests be considered through Section 106 review of collocations on Twilight Towers, as well as review of the underlying

causes further harm to the location. Significantly, the fact that a site has already been harmed by approving first the collocation that expands the use of a tower that may violate the NHPA and approved, and eligible for collocations. The new proposal turns the process upside down, tribes to review Twilight Towers. After historic preservation review, these towers would then be cultural resources.3 The FCC could, and should, implement an option in TCNS to allow for The Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) was implemented to protect Tribal

consultation process and some eleven (11) years after the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) years after the NHPA was first amended to include Tribal Historic Preservation Offices within the Section 106 ³ The Tower Construction Notification System (TCNS) was not established until September 2008, some fifteen (15) developed their first draft that included the requirements relating tribal consultation.

instances, this will compound the initial, ongoing harm of the first installation. additional wireless infrastructure will not have further, extremely severe consequences. In some the construction of the underlying Twilight Tower does not necessarily mean that adding

the draft Program Comment and insists that the FCC consult with tribes regarding potential the profit that is to be gained by circumventing federal law. Santa Clara Pueblo strongly opposes a location. The change in process that would be initiated by the draft Program Comment fails to collocations protect tribal consultation rights, valuing our interests in our sacred and cultural sites less than the public by pretending that time alone, not review, reveals if a Twilight Tower causes harm to cultural sites and violates the intention of the NHPA. The draft Program Comment also deceives We feel the FCC's draft Program Comment provides no protection for tribal sacred and

government relationship that was and is the policy of the federal government. protect our cultural heritage at Twilight Tower locations and will uphold the government-toconstructed in violation of federal law from 2001 to 2005. This will allow tribes to review and We urge the FCC to identify all Twilight Towers and antennas that were erected and

Respectfully,

J. Michael Chavarria Governor Santa Clara Pueblo New Mexico

Cc via e-mail only:

Joseph M. Chavarria, Santa Clara Pueblo Tribal Administrator Jessica Aberly, Esq.
Akilah Kinnison Esq.